mN

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Notice of Allowability	09/602,412	ZIMOWSKI, MELVIN RICHARD		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Quang N. Nguyen	2141		
All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in) or other appropriate commu IGHTS. This application is s	this application. If not includ nication will be mailed in due	ed course. THIS	
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>the Amendment filed</u>	on 09/24/2007.			
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1,3,5-12,37,40,42 and 44-47</u> .		·		
 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority up a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have an accordance. 	e been received. e been received in Application	n No	ation from the	
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* Certified copies not received:				
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		a reply complying with the re	quirements	
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give			NOTICE OF	
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must	st be submitted.			
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	son's Patent Drawing Review	(PTO-948) attached		
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date	<u>.</u>			
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner' Paper No./Mail Date				
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t			e back) of	
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT			Note the	
Attachment(s)				
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		formal Patent Application		
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No./	 Interview Summary (PTO-413), Paper No./Mail Date <u>see attachment</u>. ∑ Examiner's Amendment/Comment 		
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date	7. 🗵 Examiners	Amenament/Comment		
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. Examiner's	Statement of Reasons for All	owance	
		Quang N. Nguyen Patent Examiner -	AU 2141	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/602,412

Art Unit: 2141

Interview Summary

1. A proposed amendment was submitted for applicant's consideration. Examiner

suggested the Applicant to cancel claim 4 and amend claims 5-6 as shown in the

Examiner's Amendment below in order to place the application in condition for

allowance.

Examiner's Amendment

2. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes

and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided

by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be

submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

3. Authorization for this Examiner's Amendment was given in a telephone interview

with the Applicant's Representative, Ms. Natalya Dvorson (Reg. No. 56,616), on

October 09th, 2007.

4. Please cancel claim 4 and amend claims 5-6 as below:

Claim 4. (Canceled)

Claim 5. (Currently amended) The method of claim [[4]] 1, wherein managing the cached web page and referenced objects comprises the steps of:

receiving a request from an administrator to delete the retrieved data based on administrator-provided input; and

deleting the retrieved data based on the administrator-provided input.

Claim 6. (Currently amended) The method of claim [[4]] 1, wherein managing the cached [[data]] web page and referenced objects comprises the steps of:

receiving a request from an administrator to delete the linked objects based on administrator-provided input; and

deleting the linked objects based on the administrator-provided input.

- 5. Claims 1, 3, 5-12, 37, 40, 42 and 44-47 are allowed.
- 6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

In interpreting the currently amended independent claims 1 and 46 in light of the specification, the Examiner finds the claimed invention to be patentably distinct from the prior art of records. Specially, the prior art of records, individually or in combination, fail to explicitly teach or render obvious the claimed invention as recited in independent claims 1 and 46.

Application/Control Number: 09/602,412

Art Unit: 2141

The features as recited in independent claim 1 "determining whether to respond to a request for a web page by retrieving the web page from a cache or by constructing the web page; if it is determined that the request is to be responded to by constructing the web page, retrieving data and placing data in the web page, wherein the data is linked to other objects, determining that the web page is to be cached, wherein the web page references the other objects; storing the referenced objects in one or more data stores; and caching the web page in the cache; wherein a system initialization file comprises at least one caching directive which is used in determining whether to cache the constructed web page", when taken in context of the claim as a whole, was not uncovered in the prior art of records.

The features as recited in independent claim 46 "determining whether to respond to a request for a web page by retrieving the web page from a cache or by constructing the web page; if it is determined that the request is to be responded to by constructing the web page, retrieving data and placing data in the web page, wherein the data is linked to other objects, determining that the web page is to be cached, wherein the web page references the other objects; storing the referenced objects in one or more data stores; and caching the web page in the cache; wherein when the request matches a cache key, determining that the request is to be responded to by retrieving the web page from the cache, wherein the cache key comprises path information, a macro name, an HTML or XML block name, and a query string that caused the web page to be generated, and wherein the cache key further comprises the form data that caused the

Application/Control Number: 09/602,412

Art Unit: 2141

web page to be generated", when taken in context of the claim as a whole, was not uncovered in the prior art of records.

Nor were the prior art of records uncovered that would have provided a basis of evidence for asserting a motivation that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, knowing of a method for responding to a request for a web page, would have integrated or modified to teach the method for "determining that the web page is to be cached, wherein the web page references the other objects; storing the referenced objects in one or more data stores; and caching the web page in the cache; wherein a system initialization file comprises at least one caching directive which is used in determining whether to cache the constructed web page", including the other specific features as recited in the context of independent claim 1 and to teach the method for "determining that the request is to be responded to by retrieving the web page from the cache, wherein the cache key comprises path information, a macro name, an HTML or XML block name, and a query string that caused the web page to be generated, and wherein the cache key further comprises the form data that caused the web page to be generated", including the other specific features as recited in the context of independent claim 46.

7. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should clearly labeled "Comments on Examiner's Amendment".

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/602,412

Art Unit: 2141

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Quang N. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)

272-3886.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

SPE, Rupal Dharia, can be reached at (571) 272-3880. The fax phone number for the

organization is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Quang N. Nguyen

Patent Examiner - AU 2141

October 09th, 2007